G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

Mug 68-08-344
" 17-22-1511
" 68 08-142

1923 (Mug)

File No. 113-23

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

Mug 87-31-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for November 1923.

SUBJECT

Review application of Mahadevaiah, Gaddadadevaru asst for reconsideration of Govt orders re the use of two chaukeys by the Sringeri Swamiji

No. 607 dated 10.11.23 To Mahadevaiah

Stating that there are no fresh grounds for reconsideration of the case

28/11/23.

F. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

D. 4 11

Review application from Mahadeviah, Gaddada Devara matt, Holalkere, praying for a re-consideration of order of Court permitting the use of 2 chamaras by the Guru of Sirigere Adi matt.

1) The petition was presented to the Dewan Dewan's order:—
"Please submit to 2nd member"

2) In S.C. no. 2887 of 25-5-1923, the Dy. Comm. order permitting observing that the previous of Court permitting

H.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1889—GPE—1,50,000—25 21.

R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The Sirigere old matt
& use 2 chamana has
confirmed, our Mahadevaiah's
appeal was rejected.
18/-
The review-application
has subsequently rejected
in Mut. no 26 of 9-7-23 &
3/- & 2nd review-application
has been presented
for a re consideration
of the foregoing order.
4) There are no grounds
for a re-consideration
of the previous order.
Submit for orders

18/10/23

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this.

Please put up dept. file drawing
attention to the Supdt. and
would like to discuss the matter
further. Interviewed in
the matter with water Taluk
with consideration —

20/10/23

G. R. S. III—3]

411

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

5) DR. and Sir Lewis C
Mr — 24
21.10.23 22/10/23

6) Mr Apps
27.10.23.

Rec 5.07
Mr Edward Hamilton 31/10/23
31.10.23

568
31.10.23

8) Dr
24.11.23

9) Issue
2.11.23.

2 no 607 5/10.11.23 Dr Lewis
Peter.
Orders that Counsel
be furnished from Dr Lewis's
Case
No. 608 d. 10.11.23. "as the above."
Fernands copy of the Decree.

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1660—GPE—1,50,000—2.5.24

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not intended for permanent record:]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on

ANNAS

REV. SECRETARIAT
-5 OCT 1923
MUZRAI

4)

113-23-1

HT ANNAS

Before The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Muz. Appl. Petn.No. of 1923-24.



Mahadevayya, Gaddada Devara Mutt, residing in Holalkere, Chitaldrug district. — Petitioner.

-vs-

Mahadevayya, Parpathegar, Sirigiri Old Mutt, Sirigiri In Bharmasagara Hobli, Chitaldrug Taluk. — Opponent.

The petitioner abovenamed most humbly and respectfully begs leave to approach Your Honor with his humble appeal against the Endorsement No. 26 Muz 17-22-11 (71) dated 9.7.923 issued by the Government (Muzrai Department) in the matter of using Chamars by the Opponent Mutt.

1. That to the great misfortune of the petitioner ever since the matter was brought before the Government for a proper enquiry and decision it is being decided behind the back of the petitioner without favouring him an opportunity to explain the complicated nature of the question and wrong and interested interpretations that are being made and put upon by the Local authorities of the wordings in the Government order.

2. That the benign Government observes in the Endorsement under appeal that they find no reasons to interfere with the decision of the Deputy Commissioner.

3 That the reasons are many and if an opportunity had been favoured to him, he would have certainly proved his contention to the hilt, and there would have

been no room for any injustice to be crept in. Even a mind perusal of the application of the Opponent Mutt for the grant of a license and the order of the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. C.S. Balasundaram Iyer) dated 19th May 1917 and 20th May 1917 respectively, thereon, would have clearly pointed out the - mala fide intention of the opponent and the intentional wrong and misleading interpretation put upon by him.

4. That the petitioner is ever ready to prove that the Mutt in question has no locus standi as a Mutt by means of authenticated copies of Government Orders both of our Benign Government and that of His Majesty.

5. That the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Syed Taj Meeran Saheb) has taken a wrong view of the case. Even though very humbly prayed for a hearing in the matter, he was pleased to decide and pass the orders behind the back of the petitioner.

6. That the petitioner respectfully begs to submit that, personalities seems to have played a greater part in this case thus ignoring the principles of justice and fair play.

7. That the interpretation made by the Amildar Saheb (Mr.H. Sreenivasa Rao) in his order dated 1-7-1917 passed in favour of the opponent is worth noticing by the Benign Government. This will also throw enough of light as to how injustice is beeing allowed to make its way in this case.

8. That this is a question of communal one. It needs no mention that if justice is not done in this case, it may lead to disturbances, inspite of precautions when it wounds the religious susceptibilities of a particular community, or when the other party makes too much of their success over the right party.

9. That to grant and allow titles, honours and insignias to be used by unauthorised individuals or bodies will greatly

lower down the legitimate holders in the estimation of the general public.

10. That it is highly unfortunate to see that the Local Officers make too much of Singular and Plural terminations of the wordings of Government Orders and Proceedings just to suit their conveniences, instead of referring such doubts if any to the Government alone for a clear understanding. In these circumstances, the Orders of the Benign Government will hardly take any effect. And it further leads to prolonged and costly litigation ruining the petitioner.

11 That the petitioner prays that Your Honour may, in the interests of justice, grant a hearing to the petitioner, peruse the records that are in his possession and decide the case. Further if Your Nobleself thinks it necessary the matter may be decided in the Council under Your Noble Chair

12. That the petitioner feels quite sure that if the matter is once again referred to the other officers there will be really a failure of justice ~~th~~ and the petitioner's troubles increased.

Bangalore.
27 9 1923

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Mur.

File No. 113-23

Serial No. 2

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 607

Date of issue 10.11.23

Signed by M. T. Ramanya

To

[illegible]

over.

Eight pages only